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#### Abstract

We show how various modular identities due to Ramanujan may be used to produce simple high order approximations to $\pi$. Various specializations are considered and the Gaussian arithmetic geometric mean formula for $\pi$ is rederived as a consequence.


1. In the second part of Ramanujan's 1914 paper Modular equations and approximations to $\pi$ [9], the author lists some remarkable modular identities which he uses to give algebraic approximations to $\pi$ (such as

$$
\frac{63}{25}\left(\frac{17+15 \sqrt{5}}{7+15 \sqrt{5}}\right)
$$

which gives $\pi$ to 11 digits). While considerable attention has been paid to the prodigious singular value calculations which comprise the first half of the paper ( $[8,12]$ and references therein), less attention seems to have been given to the subtle identities given in Table III of [9]. In this note we show how these identities lead to explicit $n$th order approximations to $\pi$.

We begin by sketching the genesis of the approximation and then give explicit specializations for various integers. We finish by rederiving the Gaussian arithmetic geometric mean (AGM) identity for $\pi$ which forms the basis for the recent high precision calculation of $\pi$ ( 16 million decimal digits) by Tamura and Kanada ([11] and private communications). For more information on the AGM the reader is referred to [3].
2. We take for granted the basic identities of elliptic and theta function theory as available in Whittaker and Watson [13], Cayley [7] and Bellman [1]. A more explicit treatment will be forthcoming in [5].

Ramanujan proceeds as follows. Let $n$ be a positive integer and let $\Phi_{n}(k, l)$ denote the $n$th order modular equation which is algebraic in $k, l$ and polynomial in $u:=k^{1 / 4}, v:=l^{1 / 4}$. Suppose that $\Phi_{n}(k, l)=0$ with $0<l<k$ and let $K:=K(k), L:=K(l)$ denote the (complete) elliptic integrals (of the first kind) with moduli $k$ and $l$, respectively. As usual let $k^{\prime}:=\sqrt{1-k^{2}}$ denote the conjugate modulus and $K^{\prime}:=K^{\prime}(k):=K\left(k^{\prime}\right)$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { (i) } n \frac{K^{\prime}}{K}=\frac{L^{\prime}}{L} \quad \text { and } \quad \text { (ii) } \quad L=m_{n} K \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]where $m_{n}:=m_{n}(k, l)$ is the associated multiplier which is also algebraic in $k$ and $l$. Indeed Jacobi's differential equation
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
n \frac{d k}{d l}=\frac{k k^{\prime 2}}{l l^{\prime 2}}\left(\frac{K}{L}\right)^{2}=\frac{k k^{\prime 2}}{l l^{\prime 2}} m_{n}^{-2} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

shows immediately the algebraic nature of $m_{n}$, given that for $\Phi_{n}$. In terms of the nome $q$ one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
q:=e^{-\pi K^{\prime} / K} \quad \text { and } \quad q^{n}=e^{-\pi L^{\prime} / L} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the standard product relationship

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{q^{1 / 12} \prod_{k=1}^{\infty}\left(1-q^{2 k}\right)}{q^{n / 12} \prod_{k=1}^{\infty}\left(1-q^{2 k n}\right)}=\left(\frac{k k^{\prime}}{l l^{\prime}}\right)^{1 / 6} \sqrt{\frac{K}{L}} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Logarithmic differentiation of (4) combined with application of (2) and $q d k / d q=$ $2 k k^{2} K^{2} / \pi^{2}$ produce

$$
\begin{equation*}
n P\left(q^{n}\right)-P(q)=\left(4 K L / \pi^{2}\right) R_{n}(k, l) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
P(q):=1-24 \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{m q^{2 m}}{1-q^{2 m}}
$$

and $R_{n}$ is an algebraic function of $k$ and $l$. The algebraic nature of $R_{n}$ follows from (2). Using calculations similar to those in $\S 5$ of [4] one can give a reasonably simple general formula for $R_{n}$. No details are given by Ramanujan who, however, then lists elegant specializations of $R_{n}$ for various different $n$. (Specifically $2,3,5,7,11,15,17,19,23,31,35$. The equation given of degree 4 appears flawed.)

Thus one has the following tables in which $R_{n}$ is taken from Table III of [9], and the modular information is available for the most part in [ $\mathbf{7}$ or 5]. Indeed Cayley gives $\Phi_{n}(u, v)$ for all odd $n$ up to 20 , and $m_{n}(u, v)$ is then computable from (2). As before $u:=k^{1 / 4}, v:=l^{1 / 4}$.
(A) Modular equations

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Phi_{2}(k, l)=\left(1+k^{\prime}\right) l-\left(1-k^{\prime}\right)=0 \\
& \Phi_{3}(k, l)=(k l)^{1 / 2}+\left(k^{\prime} l^{\prime}\right)^{1 / 2}-1=0 \quad \text { or } \\
& \Phi_{3}(u, v)=u^{4}-v^{4}+2(u v)^{3}-2 u v=0 \\
& \Phi_{5}(k, l)=\left(k^{1 / 2}-l^{1 / 2}\right)^{3}-4(k l)^{1 / 4}\left(k^{\prime} l^{\prime}\right)=0 \quad \text { or } \\
& \Phi_{5}(u, v)=u^{6}-v^{6}+5 u^{2} v^{2}\left(u^{2}-v^{2}\right)-4 u v\left(1-u^{4} v^{4}\right)=0 \\
& \Phi_{7}(k, l)=(k l)^{1 / 4}+\left(k^{\prime} l^{\prime}\right)^{1 / 4}-1=0 \quad \text { or } \\
& \Phi_{7}(u, v)=\left(1-u^{8}\right)\left(1-v^{8}\right)-(1-u v)^{8}=0 \\
& \Phi_{23}(k, l)=(k l)^{1 / 4}+\left(k^{\prime} l^{\prime}\right)^{1 / 4}+2^{2 / 3}\left(k l k^{\prime} l^{\prime}\right)^{1 / 2}-1=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

(B) Multipliers

$$
\begin{aligned}
m_{2}(k, l) & =\frac{1+k^{\prime}}{2}=\frac{1}{1+l} \\
m_{3}(u, v) & =\frac{u}{u+2 v^{3}}=\frac{2 u^{3}-v}{3 v} \\
m_{5}(u, v) & =\frac{v+u^{5}}{5\left(v+u v^{4}\right)}=\frac{u-v u^{4}}{u-v^{5}} \\
m_{7}(u, v) & =\frac{u(1-u v)\left(1-u v+(u v)^{2}\right)}{u-v^{7}}=\frac{u^{7}-v}{7 v(1-u v)\left(1-u v+(u v)^{2}\right)} \\
m_{13}(k, l) & =\left(\frac{l}{k}\right)^{1 / 2}+\left(\frac{l^{\prime}}{k^{\prime}}\right)^{1 / 2}-\left(\frac{l l^{\prime}}{k k^{\prime}}\right)^{1 / 2}-4\left(\frac{l l^{\prime}}{k k^{\prime}}\right)^{1 / 3}=\frac{13}{m_{13}(l, k)}
\end{aligned}
$$

(C) Ramanujan identities

$$
\begin{aligned}
R_{2}(k, l)= & k^{\prime}+l \\
R_{3}(k, l)= & 1+k l+k^{\prime} l^{\prime}, \\
R_{5}(k, l)= & \left(3+k l+k^{\prime} l^{\prime}\right) \sqrt{\frac{1+k l+k^{\prime} l^{\prime}}{2}} \\
R_{7}(k, l)= & 3\left(1+k l+k^{\prime} l^{\prime}\right), \\
R_{15}(k, l)= & {\left[1+(k l)^{1 / 4}+\left(k^{\prime} l^{\prime}\right)^{1 / 4}\right]^{4}-\left(1+k l+k^{\prime} l^{\prime}\right), } \\
R_{19}(k, l)= & 6\left[\left(1+k l+k^{\prime} l^{\prime}\right)+(k l)^{1 / 2}+\left(k^{\prime} l^{\prime}\right)^{1 / 2}-\left(k k^{\prime} l l^{\prime}\right)^{1 / 2}\right], \\
R_{23}(k, l)= & 11\left(1+k l+k^{\prime} l^{\prime}\right) \\
& -16\left(4 k k^{\prime} l l^{\prime}\right)^{1 / 6}\left(1+(k l)^{1 / 2}+\left(k^{\prime} l^{\prime}\right)^{1 / 2}\right)-20\left(4 k k^{\prime} l l^{\prime}\right)^{1 / 3} \\
R_{35}(k, l)= & 2\left[(k l)^{1 / 2}+\left(k^{\prime} l^{\prime}\right)^{1 / 2}-\left(k k^{\prime} l l^{\prime}\right)^{1 / 2}\right] \\
& +4\left(k k^{\prime} l l^{\prime}\right)^{-1 / 6}\left[1-(k l)^{1 / 2}-\left(k^{\prime} l^{\prime}\right)^{1 / 2}\right]^{3} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover, if one substitutes $\bar{q}:=e^{-\pi / \sqrt{n}}$ in (4) before differentiating logarithmically one derives

$$
\begin{equation*}
n P\left(e^{-\pi \sqrt{n}}\right)+P\left(e^{-\pi / \sqrt{n}}\right)=6 \sqrt{n} / \pi \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and setting $n=1$ shows

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left(e^{-\pi}\right)=3 / \pi \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The interested reader is directed to [2] for much recent information on identities like (6).

We now diverge from Ramanujan whose purpose was to provide explicit algebraic approximations to $\pi$, while we are concerned with reduced complexity iterative approximations for $\pi$. Let $k_{0}:=1 / \sqrt{2}$ and iteratively solve $\Phi_{n}\left(k_{i}, k_{i+1}\right)$ for $k_{i+1}, 1 \leq i<\infty$. If we set $K_{i}:=K\left(k_{i}\right)$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
n^{N+1} P\left(e^{-\pi n^{N+1}}\right)-\frac{3}{\pi}=\sum_{i=0}^{N} n^{i} \frac{4 K_{i} K_{i+1}}{\pi^{2}} R_{n}\left(k_{i}, k_{i+1}\right) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

as follows on summing (5) and using (7). Moreover,

$$
0 \leq 1-P\left(e^{-\pi n^{N}}\right) \leq 25 e^{-2 \pi n^{N}}
$$

and asymptotically one can replace " 25 " by " 24 ". Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leq\left[n^{N+1}-\sum_{i=0}^{N} n^{i} \frac{4 K_{i} K_{i+1}}{\pi^{2}} R_{n}\left(k_{i}, k_{i+1}\right)\right]-\frac{3}{\pi} \leq 100 n^{N+1} e^{-2 \pi n^{N+1}} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since

$$
n^{N+1}=1+(n-1) \sum_{i=0}^{N} n^{i}
$$

this yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi=3\left(1-\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} n^{i}\left[\frac{4 K_{i} K_{i+1}}{\pi^{2}} R_{n}\left(k_{i}, k_{i+1}\right)-(n-1)\right]\right)^{-1} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

in which the convergence is $n$th order. We now observe that $K_{0}=K(1 / \sqrt{2})=$ $\pi /[2 M(1,1 / \sqrt{2})]$ where $M(1,1 / \sqrt{2})=: M_{0}$ is the Gaussian arithmetic geometric mean of 1 and $1 / \sqrt{2}[3]$. Then if we set $a_{i}:=\left(2 K_{i} / \pi\right) M_{0}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi=3\left(1-\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} n^{i}\left[\frac{a_{i} a_{i+1}}{M_{0}^{2}} R_{n}\left(k_{i}, k_{i+1}\right)-(n-1)\right]\right)^{-1} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k_{0}:=1 / \sqrt{2}, a_{0}:=1$,
(i) $\Phi_{n}\left(k_{i}, k_{i+1}\right)=0, k_{i+1} \in\left(0, k_{i}\right)$,
(ii) $a_{i+1}:=m_{n}\left(k_{i}, k_{i+1}\right) a_{i}$, and
(iii) $M_{0}=\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} a_{i}$.

Here (ii) is a consequence of (1)(ii), and (iii) follows since $\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} K_{i}=K(0)=$ $\pi / 2$. Thus (11) and (12) give algebraic series whose sum is $\pi$ and whose convergence is order $n$. While (11) leads to more elegant formulae for $\pi$, we may also write

$$
\begin{align*}
0 & \leq \pi-3\left(1-\sum_{i=0}^{N} n^{i}\left[\frac{a_{i} a_{i+1}}{a_{N+1}^{2}} R_{n}\left(k_{i}, k_{i+1}\right)-(n-1)\right]\right)^{-1}  \tag{12}\\
& \leq 100 n^{N+1} e^{-2 \pi n^{N+1}}
\end{align*}
$$

since $0 \leq a_{N+1}-M_{0} \leq 4 e^{-\pi n^{N+1}}$. This shows that one can compute $\pi$ from one sequence of moduli.

Various adaptations of (12) are possible in which one replaces $k_{0}:=1 / \sqrt{2}$ by other singular values. Indeed, (5) and (6) combine to give

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sqrt{n} P\left(e^{-\pi \sqrt{n}}\right)=\frac{3}{\pi}+\frac{2 K^{2}\left(\bar{k}_{n}\right)}{\pi^{2}} R_{n}\left(\bar{k}_{n}, \bar{k}_{n}^{\prime}\right) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\bar{k}_{n}$ solves $K^{\prime}\left(\bar{k}_{n}\right)=\sqrt{n} K\left(\bar{k}_{n}\right)$. This is also the genesis of Ramanujan's explicit approximations. For $n>1$, however, the formulae become more complicated, and involve $M\left(1, \bar{k}_{n}\right)$.
3. We now combine the information tabulated in $\S 2$ with (11) and (12) to produce the following approximations.

Quadratic. Using the AGM form of $\boldsymbol{\Phi}_{2}$ (as in [4]) leads to
Let (i) $a_{0}:=1, b_{0}:=1 / \sqrt{2}$,
(ii) $a_{n+1}:=\left(a_{n}+b_{n}\right) / 2, b_{n+1}:=\sqrt{a_{n} b_{n}}$.

Then $M_{0}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} a_{n}$ and
(iii)

$$
\pi=3\left(1-\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^{n}\left[\left(\frac{a_{n}^{2}+b_{n}^{2}}{2 M_{0}^{2}}\right)-1\right]\right)^{-1}
$$

Cubic. Using the $u, v$ form of $\Phi_{3}$ leads to
Let (i) $a_{0}:=1, v_{0}:=2^{-1 / 8}$,
(ii) $a_{n+1}:=a_{n} v_{n} /\left(v_{n}+2 v_{n+1}^{3}\right)$ where $\Phi_{3}\left(v_{n}, v_{n+1}\right)=0$ and $0<v_{n+1}<v_{n}$.
Then $M_{0}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} a_{n}$ and
(iii)

$$
\pi=3\left(1-2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 3^{n}\left[\frac{a_{n} a_{n+1}}{M_{0}^{2}}\left(1+\left(v_{n} v_{n+1}\right)^{4}-\left(v_{n} v_{n+1}\right)^{2}\right)-1\right]\right)^{-1}
$$

Quartic. Combining two steps of the quadratic iteration yields
Let (i) $x_{0}:=1, y_{0}:=2^{-1 / 4}$,
(ii) $x_{n+1}:=\left(x_{n}+y_{n}\right) / 2$ and $y_{n+1}:=\left(\left(x_{n} y_{n}^{3}+y_{n} x_{n}^{3}\right) / 2\right)^{1 / 4}$.

Then $M_{0}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{n}^{2}$ and
(iii)

$$
\pi=3\left(1-3 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 4^{n}\left[\left(\frac{x_{n}^{2}+y_{n}^{2}}{2 M_{0}}\right)^{2}-1\right]\right)^{-1}
$$

Septic. Using the $u, v$ form of $\Phi_{7}$ leads to

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { Let (i) } a_{0}:=1, v_{0}:=2^{-1 / 8}  \tag{17}\\
& \text { (ii) } a_{n+1}:=a_{n} v_{n}\left(1-v_{n} v_{n+1}\right)\left(1-v_{n} v_{n+1}+\left(v_{n} v_{n+1}\right)^{2}\right) /\left(v_{n}-v_{n+1}^{7}\right) \\
& \text { where } \Phi_{7}\left(v_{n}, v_{n+1}\right)=0 \text { and } 0<v_{n+1}<v_{n} . \\
& \text { Then } M_{0}:=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} a_{n} \text { and } \\
& \text { (iii) } \\
& \pi=3\left(1-3 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 7^{n}\left[\frac{a_{n} a_{n+1}}{M_{0}^{2}}\left(1+\left(v_{n} v_{n+1}\right)^{4}+\left(1-v_{n} v_{n+1}\right)^{4}\right)-2\right]\right)^{-1} .
\end{align*}
$$

The interested reader will be able to produce similar approximations based on $5,15,35$ and slightly less explicitly on 23 or other integers. In each case the error is given by (13). For example, the septic algorithm ((17) with $a_{N+1}$ replacing $M_{0}$ and $N$ replacing $\infty$ ) gives 16,130 , and 932 digits of $\pi$ when run with $N=3$.

The estimate given in (12) predicts 15,129 , and 931 digits respectively. Using $N=9$, (17) will produce $7 \cdot 7 \times 10^{8}$ digits of $\pi$. For discussion of the computational complexity of such iterations the reader is referred to [3 or 6].
4. We now show that, at least, in the quadratic and cubic cases, one can cleanly remove the $M_{0}^{2}$ in (11). This results in a rederivation of the Gaussian identity for $\pi$. The argument relies on the following Proposition:

Proposition. If $M_{0}, a_{n}, b_{n}$ are given by (14) then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{3}{2}+\sum_{n=0}^{N} 2^{n}\left(2 b_{n}^{2}-a_{n}^{2}\right)=2^{N+1} M_{0}^{2}+O\left(e^{-\pi 2^{N}}\right) \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let

$$
S(q):=1-24 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(2 n+1) q^{2 n+1}}{1+q^{2 n+1}}
$$

Then in theta function terms $[\mathbf{5}, \mathbf{7}]$,

$$
2 \theta_{4}^{4}(q)-\theta_{3}^{4}(q)=S(q)
$$

and, with $\bar{q}:=e^{-\pi}$,

$$
a_{n}^{2}=M_{0}^{2} \theta_{3}^{4}\left(\bar{q}^{2^{n}}\right) ; \quad b_{n}^{2}=M_{0}^{2} \theta_{4}^{4}\left(\bar{q}^{2^{n}}\right)
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n=0}^{N} 2^{n} & {\left[\frac{2 b_{n}^{2}-a_{n}^{2}}{M_{0}^{2}}\right]=2^{N+1}-1-24 \sum_{m=0}^{N} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{2^{m}(2 n+1) \bar{q}^{2^{m}}(2 n+1)}{1+\bar{q}^{2^{m}(2 n+1)}} } \\
& =2^{N+1}-1-24 \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{2^{m}(2 n+1) \bar{q}^{m}(2 n+1)}{1+\bar{q}^{2^{m}(2 n+1)}}+O\left(\bar{q}^{2^{N}}\right) \\
& =2^{N+1}-\left(1+24 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{n \bar{q}^{n}}{1+\bar{q}^{n}}\right)+O\left(q^{-2^{N}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

But

$$
1+24 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{n q^{n}}{1+q^{n}}=\theta_{2}^{4}(q)+\theta_{3}^{4}(q)
$$

Thus as $\theta_{3}^{4}(\bar{q})+\theta_{2}^{4}(\bar{q})=\frac{3}{2} \theta_{3}^{4}(\bar{q})=3 /\left(2 M_{0}^{2}\right)$ we have established (18).
If we combine the information in (14) and in (18) we deduce that for large $N$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{3}{\pi} & \sim 2^{N+1}-\sum_{n=0}^{N} 2^{n}\left(\frac{a_{n}^{2}+b_{n}^{2}}{2 M_{0}^{2}}\right) \quad(\text { from }(14)) \\
& \sim \frac{3}{2 M_{0}^{2}}-3 \sum_{n=0}^{N} 2^{n}\left(\frac{a_{n}^{2}-b_{n}^{2}}{2 M_{0}^{2}}\right) \quad(\text { from }(18)) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi=\frac{2 M_{0}^{2}}{1-\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^{n}\left(a_{n}^{2}-b_{n}^{2}\right)} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is the Gaussian identity for $\pi$ rediscovered independently by Brent and Salamin in the 1970s and suggested as a complexity reducing basis for computation of $\pi[6,10]$.

One can also establish that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi=\frac{2 M_{0}^{2}}{1-4 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 3^{n} a_{n} a_{n+1}\left(v_{n} v_{n+1}\right)^{2}} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a_{n}$ and $v_{n}$ are generated by (15). This can be done from a proposition analogous to the above, but is more easily seen from remanipulation of the cubic iteration given in [4].
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